



**RESTRICTED**

STAT

Franklin Roosevelt, despite his fine intelligence, often blundered in diplomacy. Witness the exports to Japan when war clouds were gathering, and the peace talks in Washington just before Pearl Harbor, and even worse, Yalta.

Since Roosevelt's death, US diplomacy has been even more stupid. Note the policy of aiding Japan; "nourishing a tiger to plague oneself." Because when Japanese once get weapons in their hands they will no longer meekly salute MacArthur. But, when Chinese of all classes opposed US support of Japan, it evoked a stupid statement from the erstwhile university president, Ambassador Stuart: "If this continues, it can invite unfortunate results"; and again, "You gentlemen must be ready to accept the results of your actions." To make such statements to the people of a country to which one is accredited as ambassador is bad grace and undignified. To his surprise there was unanimous opposition and remonstrance, and the old gentleman kept quiet after that.

From the above instances it may be seen that US diplomacy, both in policy and technique has been foolish; it was so in the past, it is so now. Considering the high quality and cultural advancement of the US people, why is her diplomacy like this? Basically the formation and execution of US foreign policy has mainly been controlled by three types of persons: merchants, militarists, and missionaries. Merchants think only of gain, so they want the open door and are willing to give economic aid abroad. Militarists are imbued with fondness for war, so they seize bases everywhere and do not object to training enemies. Missionaries feign love and justice, but outwardly pray for men's peace and prosperity; they are in fact advance agents of imperialism. But such acrobatic performances, while they may be self-hypnotizing, cannot hoodwink the whole world. The result is that when the outward form is unmasked, the true stupidity becomes apparent.

America is indeed a highly civilized nation but has nothing to offer in the field of diplomacy. President Wilson was famed for his "abundant idealism," but in fact he had no original ideas. All his projects were copied from others. For example, the concept of a League of Nations arose in the Middle Ages; the slogan "self-determination of peoples" was originated by the Russian Communists; the system of "mandate government" was born in the fact of Britain teaching her colonies to become self-governing dominions. Still less worth mentioning are those, including Roosevelt, who have come after Wilson. Therefore to consider US diplomacy as stupid is both "natural and necessary."

- E N D -

- 2 -

**RESTRICTED**